Code of Ethics: Principles for Ethical Leadership

by Cathy A. Flite, MEd, RHIA, FAHIMA, and Laurinda B. Harman, PhD, RHIA, FAHIMA

Abstract

The code of ethics for a professional association incorporates values, principles, and professional standards. A review and comparative analysis of a 1934 pledge and codes of ethics from 1957, 1977, 1988, 1998, 2004, and 2011 for a health information management association was conducted. Highlights of some changes in the healthcare delivery system are identified as a general context for the codes of ethics. The codes of ethics are examined in terms of professional values and changes in the language used to express the principles of the various codes.
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Introduction

People have been creating medical records since antiquity, as evidenced by the drawings of medical conditions and surgeries in the cave wall paintings created by early humans. In the early days of the United States, Benjamin Franklin created the first patient information register at what is now Pennsylvania Hospital in Philadelphia with the “…patient’s name, address, disorder, the dates of admission and discharge with the result on discharge….”1 The health information system of today is incredibly complex, and a code of ethics is an important resource for the decisions that must be made at work. The principles in a code of ethics can provide invaluable assistance for the ethical health information management (HIM) leader.

Initiation of the Medical Record Professional Association

In 1912, a “Club of Record Clerks” met at Massachusetts General Hospital to study clinical records, and Grace Whiting Myers attended the meetings. In 1913, the American College of Surgeons (ACOS) was founded, and in 1928 the ACOS needed a mechanism to review the surgical work of their fellowship candidates, based on the submission of medical records. “The College thus realized that some method would have to be devised in their standardization program to provide better medical records for use not only by candidates for fellowship, but also for something much more important—for efficient care of the patient in present and future illnesses, for the medicolegal needs of the hospital, physician and the patient and for use in medical research.”2

The ACOS sought to properly evaluate the work of the surgical candidates for fellowship; hence the need for standardization in record content was identified.3 The ACOS contacted Grace Whiting Myers, librarian emeritus of Massachusetts General Hospital, and she organized the activities for the conference, which was attended by medical librarians from the United States and Canada. She was appointed as the
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first president of the Association of Record Librarians of North America (ARLNA). This conference elevated the standards for medical records by addressing the content, availability, and preservation of the medical record.

专业价值观、伦理原则和伦理问题

专业价值观是原则的基础，这些原则包括在伦理守则中。专业价值观为HIM包括教育和技术能力的重要性，患者安全，数据的有效性和准确性，诚实，同情，和致力于提供优质服务在专业角色。决策标准可以包括技术可行性，合理的成本，合法性，可获取的人员专业知识，标准的护理，和组织的目标。伦理决策是应做（最好的行动），给定利益相关者，义务，和价值观的相互冲突。

核心的伦理原则包括有益（做好），非伤害（不伤害），自主（控制由个人）和正义（公平）的Beauchamp和Childress的。伦理守则从1957到2004年的审查揭示了以下价值观：为他人提供服务；保护信息；保护机密性和教导他人的重要原则；保存和保护健康信息；促进质量和发展；临床护理；报告数据的准确性和完整性；促进跨学科合作；展示忠诚；遵守法律，法规，政策；识别HIM专业人员的权威和责任；倡导所需的变化；拒绝参与或隐瞒的不道德或非法活动；报告违反标准的行为；诚实的声明，学位，认证和工作经验；代表自己，同行和职业荣誉；承诺终身学习；处理敏感信息（遗传，收养，和行为健康）；管理；企业家精神；供应商管理；和倡导。伦理守则的美国卫生信息管理协会（AHIMA）在2011年通过了这些相同的价值。

伦理守则对专业协会的重要性

HIM专业人士面临许多伦理问题，包括隐私和机密性；遵守法规，欺诈，和滥用；临床代码选择和使用；质量审查；研究和决策支持；公共卫生；管理护理；临床护理；电子健康信息系统，包括信息安全，软件开发和实施，数据资源管理，集成交付系统，e-健康，信息技术和信息交换；管理敏感信息（遗传，收养，和行为健康）；管理；企业家精神；供应商管理；和倡导。这些伦理守则的问题可以被使用技术或行政标准或以上描述的决策来解决，然而，这些决策可以被增强用于专业伦理守则的使用。

HIM专业人士不能等待法律，政策和程序，和其他行政系统来指导他们的行为，因为问题往往在系统提供指导之前就发生了需要决策。例如，基因歧视和医疗身份盗窃需要远早于立法或政策来解决。一个伦理守则可以提供立即的指导，应做什么。在1928年创建专业协会之后，1934年写下了职业道德守则来指导专业行为。这个职业道德守则由Grace Whiting Myers在1934年第一次专业公共会议时写下的。这个守则在1935年扩展，并被协会的成员使用到1957年，那时第一版的伦理守则被通过。五版的伦理守则随后被协会采用，其中最近的一版在2011年被通过。
The health information professional has had a stable and consistent connection with the importance of values and ethical decision-making throughout this timeframe. The codes of ethics allowed professionals to examine the complexities of the world of work and to obtain guidance for ethical decision-making.

Development of a Code of Ethics for the Professional Association

A profession develops in the context of the world, not in an isolated silo. A professional HIM code of ethics is shaped by the context of the times, changes in the healthcare system, and the issues faced by the profession and the public at large. See Table 2 for some of the changes in the healthcare system at the time that the various codes of ethics were passed. The association changed its name several times over the years: the Association of Record Librarians of North America (ARLNA) 1928; American Association of Medical Records Librarians (AAMRL) 1938; American Medical Record Association (AMRA) 1970; American Health Information Management Association (AHIMA) 1991.

1934—The Pledge—Core HIM Values

The Pledge of the American Association of Medical Record Librarians, written in 1934, incorporated values and professional practice standards that carried forward to future codes of ethics. The responsibility to protect privacy was clearly defined in the 1934 pledge. This pledge was written and read by Grace Whiting Myers at the first annual professional association meeting in Boston as follows:

I pledge myself to give out no information from any clinical record placed in my charge, or from any other source to any person whatsoever, except upon order from the chief executive officer of the institution which I may be serving.18

The pledge identified the responsibility of the medical record professional to protect privacy and to release information only if authorized. The pledge was expanded in 1935 when the association’s emblem was approved, and standards for ethical conduct were incorporated.19 See Table 1 for the full pledge. Subsequent codes of ethics built on the core values and principles of this pledge.

1957—First Code of Ethics

The pledge was adequate for guiding professional behaviors until 1957, at which time the professional association passed the first code of ethics.20 This code of ethics engaged the professional values and ethical principles of service, honor, and the advancement of medical record science, among other values such as placing service before material gain; bringing honor to self, associates, and the medical record profession; preserving and protecting medical records; providing service; honoring the welfare of patients; preserving and protecting privacy and confidential information; following laws and regulations; serving the employer loyally; refusing to participate in or conceal unethical practices; reporting violations to proper authorities; preserving the confidential nature of committees; accepting customary and lawful fees; staying within the scope of responsibilities; advancing medical record science and maintaining continuing education; strengthening professional manpower; honoring association responsibilities; and stating degrees, experience, and credentials accurately. The code of ethics also recognized the importance of the HIM professional’s responsibility to support medical research by “assisting the doctor in the immediate care of the patient and assisting him in the future care of the patient through medical research.”21

Some highlights of this code of ethics include the following principles:

- “Principle #1: Place service before material gain, the honor of the profession before personal advantage, the health and welfare of patients above all personal and financial interests, and conduct himself in the practice of this profession so as to bring honor to himself, his associates, and to the medical record profession.”22 These themes reoccur in all subsequent codes of ethics.
- “Principle #3: Serve his employer loyally, honorably discharging the duties and responsibilities entrusted to him...”23 What did service mean in 1957? Operations management was important following World War II. At that time, organizations were concerned with time, production, and automation. The hospital purchased the medical record librarian’s time. In return, the librarian
 should be “scrupulously honest” in dedicating time to the employer. For example, a 1956 discussion of ethics for medical record librarians notes: “Too long a coffee break and too much irrelevant conversation take away the dignity of our work, as well as being dishonest.”

1977—The Second Code of Ethics

The 1977 code of ethics highlighted service to the professional organization by placing this principle first in the code. An introduction that described the role of the HIM practitioner and the professional association was added. The individual was evaluated in the context of the larger community and network of relationships. The code of ethics dealt with association responsibilities (the larger community within which HIM professionals functioned) and moved beyond the focus on the patient to others in the world of work and the professional communities. In addition to the resequencing of the principle statements to put service to the association first, two additional principles related to the association were added. The code also added a principle related to the protection of secondary records, the right to privacy of medical and social information, and the reporting of breaches to a professional ethics committee. This code of ethics supported promoting the quality of healthcare, advancing medical care, and respecting all healthcare professionals.

1988—The Third Code of Ethics

The 1988 code of ethics eliminated the description of the HIM practitioner that was previously included; added the phrase “The Medical Record Professional” at the beginning of each principle; shortened the description of the professional association in the introduction; deleted language related to service, honor, and welfare of patients and inserted the phrases “demonstrates behavior that reflects integrity, objectivity and trust” and “respects dignity of each human being”; added “illegal” and “incompetent” to the language related to the refusal to participate in or conceal unethical actions; deleted the language relating to the reporting of inappropriate behaviors; and changed the language related to the need to “encourage” appropriate use of information and “advocate” for policies and procedures. This code of ethics reinforced the integrity and trustworthiness of the HIM professional.

1998—The Fourth Code of Ethics

In 1991, the association changed its name from the American Medical Record Association (AMRA) to the American Health Information Management Association (AHIMA).

The 1998 code of ethics “advocated patient privacy rights and confidentiality of health information,” as noted in earlier codes and the 1934 pledge. The 1998 code of ethics appropriately emphasized the dignity of all individuals. It added AHIMA’s mission, guiding principles, and values to the introduction and added a statement indicating that the code of ethics was binding for all who hold an AHIMA credential. The code used language referring to quality several times in the guiding principles. The use of this word signified the tremendous tensions experienced by the HIM professional when performing work responsibilities, such as the tension between quality documentation, accurate coding/billing, and quality care. The language and sequence included the following items:

- Respect the rights and dignity of all individuals;
- Comply with all laws, regulations, and standards governing the practice of health information management;
- Strive for professional excellence through self-assessment and continuing education;
- Truthfully and accurately represent professional credentials, education, and experience;
- Adhere to the vision, mission, and values of the association;
- Promote and protect the confidentiality and security of health records and health information;
- Strive to provide accurate and timely information;
- Promote high standards for health information management practice, education, and research; and
- Act with integrity and avoid conflicts of interest in the performance of professional and AHIMA responsibilities.
In 2000, the certification titles changed from registered record administrator (RRA) to registered health information administrator (RHIA) and from accredited record technician (ART) to registered health information technician (RHIT). These changes, which were consistent with the 1991 association name change, were a sign of the times. The use of electronic rather than paper health records increased, and the roles and responsibilities of the HIM professional expanded.29

2004—The Fifth Code of Ethics

The 2004 code of ethics included a preamble that highlighted the ethical obligations of the HIM professional, the importance of professional values, the purposes of the code of ethics, and how the code should be used by HIM professionals and others who use health information on behalf of patients. Examples of ethical and unethical behavior were included to guide ethical decision-making. Forty-two guiding statements were associated with the principles. For example, for Principle II (“Put service and the health and welfare of persons before self-interest and conduct themselves in the practice of the profession so as to bring honor to themselves, their peers, and to the health information management profession”), the code included that HIM professionals shall “act with integrity, behave in a trustworthy manner, elevate service to others above self-interest, and promote high standards of practice in every setting” and shall not “take unfair advantage of any professional relationship or exploit others to further their personal, religious, political, or business interests.”30 The preamble, values, and statements related to ethical behaviors attested to the power of the individual to make a difference. The HIM professional should put others first and make a difference in the quality of the services that are provided.

The 1998 code of ethics included language that supported “striving” to provide professional excellence and accurate and timely information and had eliminated the principle related to refusing to participate in or conceal unethical practices and procedures. The 2004 code eliminated the verb “strive” and reintroduced the principle related to the refusal to participate in or conceal unethical practices.

2011—The Sixth Code of Ethics

The 2011 code of ethics followed the format of the 2004 code and incorporated language to reflect changes in technology, the healthcare system, and association management, and it “provides enhanced steps for reporting individual, unprofessional actions.”31 The full code of ethics is available at www.ahima.org/about/ethicscode.aspx. The code included the values of quality, integrity, respect, and leadership. This code strengthened guidelines to prevent inappropriate use of electronic and written information and addressed concerns related to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). It included a reference to the professional ethics committee’s policies and procedures to help navigate issues that may include potential ethics violations, and it encouraged all members to actively recruit and mentor students, peers, and colleagues. The code established guidelines for fair work practices and encouraged improvement of colleagues’ skills and knowledge. It strengthened guidelines to support operations within the professional association and continuing education efforts. The code also dealt with formalizing processes given the growth of the profession and the complexity of electronic health information systems and the external systems that influence these systems.

Discussion

Grace Whiting Myers and the other visionary leaders who launched the HIM professional association recognized a core ethical principle of the profession—to protect patient information—and the need to demonstrate “behavior that reflects integrity, supports objectivity, and fosters trust in professional activities.”32 In writing the first pledge, they recognized the importance of competency, integrity, truthfulness, trust, compassion, dedication to others, and courage in carrying out the responsibilities of a HIM professional.

Ethical principles such as beneficence, autonomy, justice, and fidelity will be challenged as electronic health information systems evolve. Although electronic health records (EHRs) will be beneficial, their universal adoption will not come without conflict. Autonomy must be addressed in bills of patient rights. Patients must have the right to review and make corrections in their electronic record, and this right must be honored especially as states and organizations develop health information exchanges (HIEs). The
principle of justice can be violated in use of public data—data from the EHR must be presented and used in ways that promote justice. Justice can also be demonstrated by allowing access to health information for all individuals and reducing disparities. Finally, breaches in the electronic health record can destroy the principle of fidelity.33

Moral intelligence is also important for understanding the complexity of the issues that are faced.34 There is an increased understanding that technological systems require moral guidance in design and application. The focus on technology and human relationships increases ethical imperatives because what is done, how it is done, and what the intended (and sometimes unintended) outcomes are must be carefully examined.35

The dilemmas faced today require interdisciplinary ethical collaboration with clinicians, administrators, ethicists, lawyers, policy makers, accreditation agencies, patients, and patients’ advocates. There is no linear path that can predict the requirements of each new code of ethics. It is important for HIM professionals who work on each code of ethics to be aware of the changes in the political, social, and healthcare environment that may need to be addressed in the principles and guidelines for action.

The 1934 pledge and the six codes of ethics for the HIM professional association have provided guidance for ethical leadership across a continuum of time, roles, and responsibilities. These codes are an invaluable resource to assist the professional faced with complex challenges at work. HIM professionals can use the code of ethics to provide guidance for ethical decision-making. “As the founders of AHIMA recognized, the profession requires information management expertise, courage, and ethics—today more than ever. Bioethical decisions always require action. Ethical actions at work always require courage.”36 The founders of the association recognized the importance of balancing the protection of privacy and the need to access health information.

It would be feasible for the association to review and approve a new code of ethics in 2028, the 100th anniversary of the founding of the association. The HIM association was launched by medical librarians (now called medical science librarians) who recognized the importance of the information maintained in medical records. Even today, HIM professionals have much in common with medical science librarians: A 2003 analysis of accreditation standards for baccalaureate and master’s programs in HIM and master’s programs in medical library and information science programs identified shared curriculum requirements across the two disciplines, including information technology; healthcare information systems; healthcare information requirements and standards; health data content and structures; the healthcare delivery system; organization and management; research, analysis, and interpretation; and health information services management. It is therefore conceivable that the 2028 code of ethics could be written in collaboration with medical science librarians.
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Table 1

1934 Pledge of the American Association of Medical Record Librarians

The responsibility to protect privacy was clearly defined in the 1934 Pledge of the American Association of Medical Record Librarians. This pledge was written and read by Grace Whiting Myers at the first annual professional association meeting in Boston as follows:

_I pledge myself to give out no information from any clinical record placed in my charge, or from any other source to any person whatsoever, except upon order from the chief executive officer of the institution which I may be serving._

The pledge was expanded in 1935 as follows:

_RECOGNIZING that the AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF MEDICAL RECORD LIBRARIANS seeks to develop and enforce the highest standards of work among its members, I hereby pledge myself, as a condition of membership, to conduct myself in accordance with all its principles and regulations._

_IN PARTICULAR, I pledge myself to pursue the practice of my profession in a spirit of unselfishness, and of loyalty to the Association and to the institution which I am called to serve; to bear always in mind a keen realization of my responsibility; to seek constantly a wider knowledge of my profession through serious study, through instruction by competent approved teachers, throughout interchange of opinion among associates, and by attendance at meetings of this and of allied associations; to regard scrupulously the interests and rights of my fellow-members, and to seek counsel among them when in doubt of my own judgment._

_MOREOVER, I pledge myself to give out no information concerning a patient from any clinical record placed in my charge, or from any other source, to any person whatsoever, except upon order from the chief executive officer of the institution which I may be serving; and to avoid all commercialization of my work._

_FINALLY, I pledge myself to co-operate in advancing and extending by every lawful means within my power, the influence of the AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF MEDICAL RECORD LIBRARIANS._

### Table 2

**Historical Environment of the Codes of Ethics**

A code of ethics is developed within the context of the healthcare environment and, at times, world events. This review is not intended to be a complete study of history, and the facts related to the year of passage of a code of ethics are cited only as a point of reference. The reader is encouraged to contemplate these events and consider what bearing the events may have had on each code of ethics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Environment and Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1930s</td>
<td>The 1930s was marked by the Depression, unemployment, and a lack of healthcare coverage. This time period ushered in the beginning of insurance, marked by the beginning of private (prepaid hospital) insurance by Blue Cross. The Social Security Act of 1935 was passed without a health insurance component.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950s–1960s</td>
<td>The healthcare industry advanced with computerization in billing functions and advancement in health technology such as the introduction of the pacemaker in 1952, the introduction of the heart-lung machine in 1953, and the first successful kidney transplant in 1954. Ultrasound scanning was pioneered in Scotland; the Asian flu pandemic caused more than 1 million deaths worldwide. The first nuclear reactor plant opened in Pennsylvania, which exposed people to the risk of radiation and made people vulnerable to manufactured forces beyond their control, in addition to the forces of nature. Individuals could control purchases, such as a home, food, or gas, but they could not control the forces of politics, nature, or technology. As we became more global and aware of what was happening to others on an immediate basis because of communication technologies, the pressure increased to protect the potentially vulnerable individual in the health information system and the information collected, used, and disseminated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970s</td>
<td>Cost containment in healthcare became a focus. The Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) Act of 1973 was a federal law passed to encourage employers to develop and seek HMO initiatives. The first test-tube baby was born in England. Sweden became the first nation to ban aerosol sprays that were thought to damage earth’s protective ozone layer. Britain launched the Motability program to provide cars for disabled people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980s</td>
<td>The 1980s offered a time of regulation and deregulation as the federal government increased regulation on health reimbursement. The implementation of diagnosis related groups (DRGs) in 1983 changed the nature and importance of the medical record professional’s role in a highly regulated government environment. The first laser eye surgery was performed in the United States. Crack (a derivative of cocaine) was available to the public. The antidepressant Prozac was introduced and quickly became the market leader for treating depression. Computer viruses started to surface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990s</td>
<td>Beginning in 1994, a series of Physicians at Teaching Hospitals (PATH) Audits were conducted by the federal government to investigate improper billing by teaching hospitals and physicians. In December 1995, the University of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Environment and Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>In 2003, the government implemented the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009–2011</td>
<td>In 2009, the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act, part of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA), included provisions to advance the use of health information technology by including privacy, security, and electronic health record incentives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes

2. Ibid., p. 21.
22. American Medical Record Association *Code of Ethics for the Practice of Medical Record Science*. 1957.
23. Ibid.
28. Ibid.